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## Definition

 cryptographic system that relies on modular arithmetic such as RSA, ECC, etc. A PMNS is characterized by a tuple ( $p, n, \gamma, \rho, E$ )


## Example

A short example using small numbers for clarity
$>$ We take the PMNS ( $11,3,7,2, X^{3}-2$ )
$\boldsymbol{\bullet} a=8 \rightarrow A(X)=X^{2}-X-1: A(7) \equiv 7^{2}-7-1 \equiv 41 \equiv 8(\bmod 11)$
$\rightarrow \operatorname{deg}(A)<3$ and $\forall i,\left|a_{i}\right|<2$
$a b(\bmod p) \longrightarrow C(X)=A(X) B(X)$
$\rightarrow b=6 \rightarrow B(X)=X-1, c=a b(\bmod 11) \rightarrow C(X)=A(X) B(X)$
$a+b(\bmod p) \longrightarrow C(X)=A(X)+B(X)$
$>E(\gamma) \equiv E(7) \equiv 7^{3}-2 \equiv 341 \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$

## Problems:

$>$ If $\operatorname{deg}(A)=\operatorname{deg}(B)=n-1$, for $C(X)=A(X) B(X)$ we have $\operatorname{deg}(C)=2 n-2>n-1$
$>c_{i}=a_{i} b_{i} \Longrightarrow\left|c_{i}\right|<n \rho^{2}$ and not $\rho$ so some coefficients might be too big.

## External Reduction

- We compute $C^{\prime}(X)=A(X) B(X)(\bmod E(X))$
$>E(\gamma) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \Longrightarrow C^{\prime}(\gamma) \equiv A(\gamma) B(\gamma) \equiv a b(\bmod p)$
$>\operatorname{deg}(E)=n \Longrightarrow \operatorname{deg}\left(C^{\prime}\right)<n$
Since $E$ can be as sparse as we want it to be, we can choose $E(X)=X^{n}-\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ for fast calculations.
We therefore have a new bound of $\left|c_{i}^{\prime}\right|<(|\lambda|(n-1)+1) \rho^{2}$
$C^{\prime}(X)=C(X)-E(X)=X^{3}-2 X^{2}+1-\left(X^{3}-2\right)=-2 X^{2}+3$
$>\operatorname{deg}(C)=3>2$
$>c_{2}=-2 \Longrightarrow\left|c_{2}\right|=2$ and we want $\forall i,\left|c_{i}\right|<2$

The Internal Reduction is one of the most important parts of PMNS calculations. In 2008, C. Negre and T. Plantard proposed an algorithm based on the Montgomery modular reduction. [3]

## Montgomery Polynomial Reduction

Algorithm 1 Coefficients reduction
Require: $\mathcal{B}=(p, n, \gamma, \rho, E)$ a PMNS, $C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(C^{\prime}\right)<n, M \in \mathfrak{L}=$ $\{A(X)$ such that $\operatorname{deg} A(X) \leqslant n-1$ and $A(\gamma)=0 \bmod p\}, \phi \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $M^{\prime}=M^{-1} \bmod (E, \phi)$.
Ensure: $C^{\prime \prime}(\gamma)=C^{\prime}(\gamma) \phi^{-1}(\bmod p)$, with $C^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)<n$
$Q \leftarrow C^{\prime} \times M^{\prime} \bmod (E, \phi)$
$T \leftarrow Q \times M \bmod E$
$C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow\left(C^{\prime}-T\right) / \phi$
return $C^{\prime \prime}$

## Notes:

$>$ We generally choose $\phi=2^{64}$ for fast division operations on 64-bit hardware
$>$ For $E(X)=X^{n}-\lambda$, we choose $\rho$ such that $\phi>(|\lambda|(n-1)+1) \rho$
$\rightarrow$ As noted earlier, we have $\left|c_{i}^{\prime}\right|<(|\lambda|(n-1)+1) \rho^{2}$ therefore $\left|c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\frac{(|\lambda|(n-1)+1) \rho^{2}}{\phi} \Longrightarrow\left|c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\rho$
$>$ We get $c^{\prime \prime}=a b \phi^{-1}(\bmod p)$ and not $a b$ which has to be accounted for

## Problem:

- Finding $M$ may involve an exhaustive search ( $2^{n}$ iterations [2, Algorithm 8])
$>n_{\text {min }}>\log _{2}\left(\frac{p}{\phi}\right)$ so for 8192-bit integers (ex: RSA) we get $n>128$
$\rightarrow$ More than $2^{128}$ iterations inn't reasonable

Algorithm 2 Coefficients reduction, new version
Require: $\mathcal{B}=(p, n, \gamma, \rho, E)$ a PMNS, $C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(C^{\prime}\right)<n, \mathcal{L}$ a reduced basis of $\mathfrak{L}=$
$\{A(X)$ such that $\operatorname{deg} A(X) \leqslant n-1$ and $A(\gamma)=0 \bmod p\}, \phi \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \bmod \phi$.
Ensure: $C^{\prime \prime}(\gamma) \equiv C^{\prime}(\gamma) \phi^{-1} \bmod p$, with $C^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)<n$
1: $q \leftarrow C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\right) \bmod \phi$
2: $C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow\left(C^{\prime}-q \mathcal{L}\right) / \phi$
3: return $C^{\prime \prime}$

As this new method works directly with a basis matrix, no further generation is needed and the step in $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ is completely bypassed. To represent all the elements of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ we have the following restriction on $\mathcal{L}$

## $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{1} \geqslant p^{1 / n}$

Furthermore we have the following bound on $\mathcal{L}$ to guarantee the coefficient reduction

## $\frac{\phi}{4(|\lambda|(n-1)+1)} \geqslant\|\mathcal{L}\|_{1}$

$4(2(129-1)+1)$
dramatically affects performance
It is easy to see $n$ is too small and we will in practice need $n=188$ for this integer size which dramatically affects performance

Even though current PUs operate on 64-bit logic, compilers like GCC offer the possibility of using 128-bit variables. As such a possible solution to reduce $n$ is to use $\phi=2^{128}$. This leads to the following results.
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